Soliton magnetization dynamics in spin-orbit-coupled Bose-Einstein condensates
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Ring-trapped Bose-Einstein condensates subject to spin-orbit coupling support localized dark-soliton excitations that show periodic density dynamics in real space. In addition to the density feature, the solitons also carry a localized pseudospin magnetization that exhibits a rich and tunable dynamics. Analytical results for Rashba-type spin-orbit coupling and spin-invariant interactions predict a conserved magnitude and precessional motion for the soliton magnetization that allows for the simulation of spin-related geometric phases recently seen in electronic transport measurements.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recent realization of artificial light-induced gauge potentials for neutral atoms [1] has added a powerful new instrument to the atomic-physics simulation toolkit [2]. In particular, possibilities to induce Zeeman-like and spin-orbit-type couplings in (pseudo)spinor atom gases [3] render them ideal laboratories to investigate the intriguing interplay of spin dynamics and quantum confinement that has been the hallmark of semiconductor spintronics [4,5]. At the same time, the unique aspects of Bose-Einstein-condensed atom gases [6] associated, e.g., with their intrinsically nonlinear dynamics, promise to give rise to novel behavior under the influence of synthetic spin-orbit couplings [7–15].

One of the special properties resulting from nonlinearity in Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) is the existence of solitary-wave excitations [16]. Basic types of these are distinguished by the shape of their localized density feature: dark (gray) solitons are associated with a full (partial) depletion of a uniform condensate density in a finite region of space, whereas bright solitons are localized density waves on an empty background. A further characteristic associated with solitons is the phase gradient of the condensate order parameter centered at the position of the density feature. In multicomponent systems, the dynamics of soliton excitations is found to be enriched by the additional degrees of freedom [17–20].

We have studied solitons in ring-trapped pseudo-spin-1/2 condensates with spin-invariant repulsive atom-atom interactions subject to a Rashba-type [21,22] spin-orbit coupling and find that they exhibit a third feature: a pseudomagnetization vector with conserved magnitude and rich dynamics that unfolds in tandem with the soliton’s periodic propagation in real space. Figure 1 shows an example and also illustrates the interesting fact that the magnetization directions at the beginning and the end of a full cycle of the soliton’s motion are generally not parallel. The appearance of such a geometric phase [23] and the precessional time evolution of the solitonic magnetization are reminiscent of the spin dynamics of electrons traversing a mesoscopic semiconductor ring [24–28].

In the following, we consider several soliton configurations and obtain analytical results for their density and magnetization profiles as well as the magnetization dynamics associated with their motion. We start by introducing the basic theoretical description of our system of interest. Using the basis of a spatially varying local spin frame [26] for the condensate spinor, the nonlinear Gross-Pitaevskii equation [6] for the spin-orbit-coupled ring BEC turns out to be of Manakov type [17], making it possible to apply standard methods [18,20] to find solitary-wave solutions. Accounting for the presence of spin-orbit coupling adds an important twist: Spinors have to satisfy nonstandard boundary conditions, which introduce background-density flows in the local spin frame that contribute to the nontrivial magnetization dynamics exhibited by the moving solitons in the laboratory frame.

II. BASIC MODEL AND LOCAL SPIN FRAME

We consider a two-component BEC trapped in the xy plane and confined to a ring of radius R. The atoms are assumed to be in the lowest quasi-one-dimensional subband1 and subject to a spin-orbit coupling of the familiar Rashba form [21,22] \( \sigma_\mu (\sigma_\mu (-i \partial_\mu) - \sigma_\nu (-i \partial_\nu)) \) as well as a spin-rotationally-invariant contact interaction.2 (Here \( \sigma_{x,y,z} \) are the spin-1/2 Pauli matrices.) The energy functional of such a system [30] is given by \( E[\Psi] = \int d\psi \Psi^\dagger (\mathcal{H} - \mu) \Psi \), where \( \psi \) is the azimuthal angle, \( \mu \) the chemical potential, \( \Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T \) the two-component (pseudospin-1/2) spinor order parameter in the representation where the \( (z) \) direction perpendicular to the ring’s plane is the spin-quantization axis, and

\[
\mathcal{H} = E_0 \left[ -\partial_\phi^2 + \frac{g}{2} \Psi^\dagger \Psi + \tan \eta (\sigma_\mu e^{i\psi} + \sigma_\nu e^{-i\psi}) (i \partial_\phi + \frac{\sigma_z}{2}) \right].
\]

1 This limitation is not crucial, as finite-width effects and higher subbands could be treated straightforwardly.

2 The couplings within and between different hyperfine states usually have slightly different magnitudes, but these can be tuned via Feshbach resonances. (See, e.g., Ref. [29].) Here we assume the simplest case where these couplings are all equal.
We use $\sigma_\pm \equiv (\sigma_\pm + i\sigma_5)/2$ to denote raising and lowering operators for spin-1/2 components, $E_0 = \hbar^2/(2MR^2)$ is the energy scale for quantum confinement of atoms with mass $M$ in a ring of radius $R$, $E_0$ is the two-body contact-interaction strength, and $\tan \eta = 2MR\alpha_0/h^2$ is a dimensionless measure of the spin-orbit coupling.

The effect of Rashba spin-orbit coupling in a ring geometry can be elucidated by performing a suitable SU(2) transformation. Defining $\Psi = U \chi$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}} = U^{-1} \mathcal{H} U$, with $U = e^{-i(\eta \sigma_2)/2} e^{i\sigma_1/2} e^{i\eta \sigma_5/2} e^{-i(\cos \phi)/2}$, we find

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}} = E_0 \left[ -\xi^2 - \frac{(\tan \eta)^2}{4} + \frac{g}{2} \chi^i \chi^j \right]. \tag{2}$$

The transformation $U^{-1}$ amounts to a $\phi$-dependent rotation of the pseudospin quantization axis [26], followed by a spin-dependent gauge transformation. We will refer to the original representation where the spin-quantization axis coincides with the axis of the ring as the laboratory frame, whereas the representation in which the Hamiltonian of the system is diagonal in pseudospin space [i.e., given by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}}$ of Eq. (2)] will be the local spin frame [26]. Note that the spinors $\Psi$ in the laboratory frame are periodic functions of $\phi$, whereas the spinors $\chi = (\chi_+, \chi_-)^T$ from the local spin frame have to satisfy the boundary conditions $\chi_\pm(\phi) = \chi_\pm(\phi + 2\pi) e^{2\pi iA}$ with a spin-dependent phase twist originating from the spin-orbit coupling, where

$$A = \pi \left( \frac{1}{\cos \eta} - 1 \right). \tag{3}$$

Knowledge of the local-spin-frame spinors enables the calculation of expectation values for any observables accessible to measurement in the laboratory frame. The total density $n = |\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2$ is obviously the same irrespective of which representation is chosen in spin space. The pseudospin-1/2 projections in the laboratory frame correspond to definite atomic states, hence their density profiles $n_{\pm}(s) = \Psi^\dagger(1 + (-)\sigma_z)/2\Psi$ are of interest. In addition, we will consider the magnetization-density vector $s = \Psi^\dagger \sigma \Psi$ in the laboratory frame, with $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ being the vector of Pauli matrices.

III. SOLITONS AND THEIR MAGNETIZATION

We analyze the properties of localized excitations in a spin-orbit-coupled ring-trapped BEC based on the time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation [6] $\delta E[\chi]/\delta \chi^\dagger = i\hbar \partial \chi/\partial t$. After rescaling to use the dimensionless time variable $\tau = tE_0/\hbar$, it has the form

$$i \frac{\partial \chi_\sigma}{\partial \tau} = \left[ -\xi^2 + g \left( |\chi_+|^2 + |\chi_-|^2 - n_0 \right) \right] \chi_\sigma \tag{4}$$

for the two components of the spinor $\chi = (\chi_+, \chi_-)^T$, where $n_0 = [\mu + (\tan \eta)^2/4]/(gE_0)$ is the uniform (background) density consistent with the chemical potential $\mu$. While the spin-orbit coupling has formally disappeared from the nonlinear equation (4), it is still implicitly present via the boundary conditions that the individual components $\chi_{\pm}(\phi, \tau)$ must satisfy.

We have obtained several soliton solutions of Eqs. (4) using established techniques [17,18,20] and implemented the appropriate boundary conditions. Before giving further details, we wish to summarize a few general features. The soliton spinors in the local-spin-frame representation turn out to be of the form

$$\chi_{\sigma}^{(s)} = \tilde{\gamma}_{\sigma}(\phi - v_\sigma \tau) e^{i[v_\sigma \phi - \alpha_\sigma + \beta_\sigma \tau]/4}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_{\sigma}(\xi)$ are complex amplitude functions encoding the specific solitonlike density features, $v_\sigma$ is the propagation speed of the soliton, and $v_{\sigma\sigma}$ are background flow velocities of the individual spinor components that are necessary to implement the boundary conditions arising due to the presence of spin-orbit coupling. The density $n_{\downarrow}^{(s)}$ and magnetization density $s^{(s)}$ exhibit spatially localized features. Subtracting $s^{(s)}$ from the magnetization density $s_0^{(s)}$ of the condensate background yields the magnetization density that is associated with the soliton excitation only. Its integral $S^{(s)} = \int d\phi s^{(s)}$ is the vector of total soliton magnetization, which is an additional property of localized excitations in multicomponent BECs. For soliton solutions of the form (5), $S^{(s)}$ has constant magnitude. Its temporal evolution is most conveniently described by a set of four angles as defined in Fig. 2(c). While the tilt angles $\beta$ and $\beta'$ are time independent, the angles $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ vary linearly in time, signifying the precession of $S^{(s)}$ around the tilted $z'$ axis with the universal result

$$\beta = \eta, \quad \alpha = v_\sigma \tau + \pi. \tag{6}$$

The $z'$ axis is tilted by the angle $\eta$ characterizing the spin-orbit coupling and it rotates around the $z$ axis with the same angular velocity $v_\sigma$ that characterizes the soliton propagation. The second tilt angle $\beta'$ is found to depend only on details of the soliton profiles $\tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}(\phi)$, while the precession frequency $d\alpha'/d\tau$ has complicated dependences on the parameters of the soliton solutions. Figure 2 shows exemplary magnetization dynamics for gray-transparent and gray-gray solitons. Interestingly, we find that the magnetization vector is usually not parallel to its initial direction after the soliton has completed a full cycle of its motion around the ring as, e.g., seen in Fig. 1. The angle $\theta$ between the magnetization directions at the start and the end of a cycle turns out to be finite only as a consequence of spin-orbit coupling, as it depends prominently on the phase $A$ given
in Eq. (3) which also governs spin-dependent interference in mesoscopic ring conductors [27].

In order to find explicit soliton solutions, we introduce $\xi = \varphi - u\tau$, where $u$ is a velocity parameter, and initially look for solutions of the form $\chi_\sigma(\varphi, \tau) = \sqrt{n_\sigma(\xi)}e^{i(\theta_\sigma + \xi)}$. This allows us to rewrite Eq. (4) in the form

\[
-u \frac{\partial n_\sigma}{\partial \xi} + 2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta_\sigma}{\partial \xi} \right) \left( n_\sigma \frac{\partial \theta_\sigma}{\partial \xi} \right) = 0, \quad (7a)
\]

\[
\frac{u}{\sqrt{n_\sigma}} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_\sigma}{\partial^2 \xi} - \left( \frac{\partial \theta_\sigma}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 - g(n - n_0) = 0, \quad (7b)
\]

where $n = n_+ + n_-$. Single-component solutions for $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$ are easily found by integration of Eqs. (7) to yield $\chi_\sigma(\xi) \propto \Upsilon(\xi)$ and $\chi_{-\sigma} = 0$, with the well-known dark-soliton solution on the infinite line $[6]$

\[
\Upsilon(\xi) = \sqrt{n_0} \left[ \frac{u}{c} + \gamma_\sigma \tanh \left( \frac{y_\sigma \xi}{\xi_D} \right) \right]. \tag{8}
\]

Here $y_\sigma^2 = 1 - u^2/c^2$, $c^2 = 2gn_0$, and $1/\xi_D^2 = gn_0/2$. The soliton profile (8) is appropriate for sufficiently strong nonlinearity, where $\xi_D/y_\sigma \ll 2\pi$. However, $\Upsilon(\xi)$ does not satisfy the proper boundary condition since it has a phase step $\Delta \varphi = -2\arccos(u/c)$. To compensate for the phase step and ensure the correct phase shift associated with the gauge transformation $U$, we perform a Galilean transformation on (8), which yields

\[
\chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{SC}(\varphi, \tau) = \Upsilon(\xi - v_0 \tau) e^{i\nu_0 \varphi/2 - i\nu_1^2 \tau/4}. \tag{9}
\]

Here $v_0 = -(\Delta \varphi + \partial A)/\pi$ is the background velocity imposed by the boundary condition. Thus the single-component soliton solution is of the form (5), with $\Upsilon_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{SC} = \Upsilon$ and $\Upsilon_{-\sigma}^{SC} = 0$, and propagation speed $v_1 = u + v_0$.

A straightforward calculation yields $s_{GB}^{SC} = \tilde{\sigma} \Upsilon(\varphi - v_1 \tau)^2(-\sin \eta \cos \varphi, -\sin \eta \sin \varphi, \cos \eta)^T$ for the magnetization density of the single-component soliton solution. In essence, the density depletion at the soliton’s position gives rise to a reduction of the magnetization density $s_{GB}^{SC} = \tilde{\sigma} n_0(-\sin \eta \cos \varphi, -\sin \eta \sin \varphi, \cos \eta)^T$ associated with the background. Thus $s_{GB}^{SC} - s_{GB}^{SC}$ constitutes the magnetization density associated with the soliton itself, as it is the change in the background magnetization density due to the presence of the localized excitation. For the single-component soliton, this corresponds to a peak in magnetization density at the soliton’s position. The total magnetization vector is obtained by integrating that peak in real space, which yields $S^{SC} = \tilde{\sigma}(-\sin \eta \cos(v_1 \tau), -\sin \eta \sin(v_1 \tau), \cos \eta)^T$. This magnetization vector is precessing in a perfectly synchronized fashion with the soliton’s motion around the ring [cf. Fig. 2(c) with Eq. (6) and $\beta' = 0$], i.e., $\theta^{SC} = 0$.

We now consider a solution of Eqs. (7) that is a gray-bright (GB) soliton in the local spin frame. We assume that the densities approach constant values $n_+ \to n_{+0}$ (gray part) and $n_- \to 0$ (bright part) far away from the soliton’s position. To decouple Eq. (7b), we use the ansatz $[20] n_- = \kappa(n_+ - n_{+0})$ with $-1 < \kappa \leq 0$. We apply a Galilean boost to both components to match the phase of the gray part only; hence they are of the form (5) with $\Upsilon_{GB}^{SC}(\xi)$ given by $\Upsilon(\xi)$ from Eq. (8) but with rescaled $c^2 = 2gn_{+0}(1 + \kappa)$, $1/\xi_D^2 = gn_{+0}(1 + \kappa)/2$, and

\[
\Upsilon_{GB}^{SC}(\xi) = \sqrt{-\kappa n_{+0}} \frac{\gamma_\sigma e^{i\nu_0/2}}{\cosh(y_\sigma \xi/\xi_D)}. \tag{10}
\]

Furthermore, $v_{b-} = v_{b+}$ and $v_1 = u + v_{b+}$. Figure 3 shows the density profiles [Fig. 3(a)] and magnetization-density profile [Fig. 3(c)] associated with a GB soliton.

The vector $S^{GB}$ of total magnetization for a GB soliton precesses concomitantly with the soliton’s motion; cf. Fig. 2(c) with Eq. (6) and $\tan \beta' = (\sqrt{-\kappa \sin \eta})/[1 - \kappa c^2 \gamma_\sigma]$, $\alpha' = -v_1 \tau(1 + A/\pi)$. Figures 1(a) and 2(a) show examples of possible time evolutions of the GB-soliton magnetization. The magnitude of the magnetization vector is found to be $S = 2(1 - \kappa)\xi_D \gamma_\sigma / \cos \beta'$. For a GB soliton, the magnetization vector turns out to be not aligned with its initial direction after completion of a full cycle of its motion around the ring. A straightforward calculation yields $\sin(\beta^{GB}/2) = \sin \beta' \sin A$. As $\beta'$ is a known function of the soliton parameters, a measurement of $\partial^{GB}$ will yield the spin-related geometric phase $A$.

The solutions representing gray-gray (GG) solitons in the local spin frame are obtained by Hirota’s method [18]. The
solving the transcendental equations given just after Eq. (11). For simplicity, we consider the case of a GG soliton with zero background magnetization in the local spin frame (i.e., $n_{0\sigma} = n_{0\bar{\sigma}} \equiv n_0/2$). Figure 3 shows results for spinor-density [Fig. 3(b)] and magnetization-density [Fig. 3(d)] profiles. The time evolution of the magnetization vector associated with a moving GG soliton is characterized by the angles defined in Fig. 2(c) with Eq. (6), $\beta' = \pi/2$, and $\alpha' = \omega \tau + \pi/2$, where $\omega = -(v_{b\sigma} - v_{b\bar{\sigma}})/2 + (v_{c\sigma}^2 - v_{c\bar{\sigma}}^2)/4 - (1 + A/\pi)\nu_s$. Figure 2(b) illustrates this dynamics which, for small $\eta$, corresponds to a slow rotation of the magnetization vector in the ring’s plane with superimposed fast small-amplitude oscillations in the normal direction. As in the case of the GB soliton, the magnetization vector does not evolve back to its initial direction after a period of the soliton’s ring revolution. The angle between magnetizations at the start and the end of the cycle is found to be $\vartheta_{GG} = 2\pi|\omega|/\nu_s \rightarrow 2\pi A$. Again, the dependence of $\vartheta_{GG}$ on $A$ enables determination of the latter by measuring the former.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the properties of soliton excitations in ring-trapped spin-orbit-coupled BECs. We find that a magnetization degree of freedom is generally associated with a soliton, and that the magnetization vector precesses around an axis that is rotating synchronously with the soliton’s orbital motion around the ring. The magnetization direction at the end of a cycle of revolution does not coincide with the initial direction for the gray-bright and gray-gray cases, making it possible to measure a spin-orbit-related geometric phase. Our work opens up additional avenues for the realization and manipulation of magneton soliton excitations in BECs. It also creates the opportunity to study spin-dependent interference and scattering effects that, until now, were accessible only in semiconductor nanostructures.
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