Robust mesoscopic superposition of strongly correlated ultracold atoms
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We propose a scheme to create coherent superpositions of annular flow of strongly interacting bosonic atoms in a one-dimensional ring trap. The nonrotating ground state is coupled to a vortex state with mesoscopic angular momentum by means of a narrow potential barrier and an applied phase that originates from either rotation or a synthetic magnetic field. We show that superposition states in the Tonks-Girardeau regime are robust against single-particle loss due to the effects of strong correlations. The coupling between the mesoscopically distinct states scales much more favorably with particle number than in schemes relying on weak interactions, thus making particle numbers of hundreds or thousands feasible. Coherent oscillations induced by time variation of parameters may serve as a “smoking gun” signature for detecting superposition states.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum superpositions of macroscopically distinct states are important for our understanding of quantum mechanics [1] and carry great promise for enhanced precision measurement techniques [2]. A conceptually simple example is the superposition \(|N,0\rangle + |0,N\rangle\), or NOON, where all \(N\) particles occupy either one or the other of two accessible modes (e.g., spin orientations). Due to their inherent fragility, such maximally entangled NOON states [3] engineered in optics and spin systems have been limited to ten particles [4]. The related mesoscopic superpositions of flux states, consisting of \(10^9\) Cooper pairs observed in superconducting rings [5,6], have proven more robust but their microscopic nature is debated [7–10]. To date, proposals to create mesoscopic superpositions of ultracold atoms have focused entirely on NOON and closely related states [11–14], and have not yet been realized. Such proposals suffer from severe limitations due to decoherence [15] and the unfavorable scaling of precision and time scales needed to produce these states [16,17].

In this paper, we propose a simple and experimentally accessible scheme for producing large, robust quantum superposition states of ultracold atoms. NOON states are very fragile because the loss of a single constituent particle, if discriminant between either of the two available modes, destroys the superposition. We show that many-particle superposition states can be made more robust by utilizing interactions between the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms. The intuitive assumption is that correlations due to particle interactions spread single-particle observables over the atoms.

II. THE SYSTEM

We apply this idea to bosonic atoms confined to a thin, ring-shaped trap [18,19] that is intersected by a focused blue-detuned laser beam, which creates a potential barrier for the atoms as illustrated in Fig. 1. One-dimensional Bose gases with variable repulsive interactions have already been realized in linear traps where the interactions were tuned by means of a Feshbach resonance or by adjusting the trap geometry [20,21]. We model the system of \(N\) atoms of mass \(M\) in a loop of circumference \(L\) by the one-dimensional Hamiltonian

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\Omega}{L} \right)^2 + b \delta(x_i) + g \sum_{i<j}^{N} \delta(x_i - x_j) \right],
\]

where \(x = \theta L/2\pi\) is the atom’s position on the circumference of the loop and \(g\) is the effective one-dimensional interaction strength between the atoms [22]. The smallest nonzero kinetic energy of a single particle,

\[
E_0 = 2\pi^2 \hbar^2 / (ML^2),
\]

provides a natural unit of energy for this system. The narrow barrier with strength \(b\) is rotated with constant tangential velocity \(v = \hbar \Omega / (ML)\) along the circumference of the ring and the Hamiltonian (1) is formulated in the corotating frame of reference. Alternatively, the rotational phase \(\Omega\) can be applied in a nonrotating system by means of a synthetic magnetic field, as recently demonstrated at NIST [23].

In the absence of the barrier \((b = 0)\), the angular momentum in the ground state is quantized to integer multiples of \(Nh\) [24,25]. In this case, the Hamiltonian (1) describes the integrable Lieb-Liniger model [26] with energies of different angular momentum states shifted with respect to each other by a Galilean transformation due to the rotational phase \(\Omega\). A finite barrier \((b > 0)\) couples states with different total angular momentum, leading to the avoided level crossing seen in the inset in Fig. 1. At the precise position of the avoided crossing, an effective two-level system is realized with eigenstates being 50:50 superpositions of the two angular momentum states. By adiabatically changing the applied phase from zero into the avoided crossing, the superposition is created, while a rapid, nonadiabatic procedure leads to coherent oscillations between the two states. The oscillations with the period of \(\Delta E / \hbar\), where

*J.Brand@massey.ac.nz
In the strongly interacting regime, however, to NOON states, the unfavorable scaling of \( \Delta E \) to particle loss severely limit the attainable particle numbers. While weak interactions lead to particle loss, the quantized total angular momentum is measured by dropping an atom with \( g \approx g(1 + \gamma/\bar{g})^{-1} \), produces exact energies and eigenstate projections for two particles when the Hamiltonian is represented in a truncated occupation number basis from \( r \) angular momentum modes with \( \bar{g}_0 \approx rL_1E_0/2 \), where we have ignored small energy-dependent terms. For larger particle numbers, we use the same value of \( \bar{g} \) and monitor the error by comparing with the exact Lieb-Liniger result. We still find a significant improvement compared to the unscaled Hamiltonian. We have used \( r = 20 \) modes for all calculations [except Fig. 3(a)]. In the Tonks-Girardeau regime, where the error is largest, the relative error after rescaling is less than 3%, which is a factor of 8 times smaller than without the rescaling. In addition to the level splitting \( \Delta E \) shown in Fig. 2, the simulations also provide insight into the nature and the robustness properties of the superposition states as shown in Fig. 3.

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

We have simulated the system for \( N = 5 \) particles by numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (1). In order to obtain accurate results in the strongly interacting regime, we have developed an effective Hamiltonian for the one-dimensional Bose gas [28]. In Appendix A, we show that a rescaled interaction strength, \( \bar{g} = g(1 + \gamma/\bar{g})^{-1} \), produces exact energies and eigenstate projections for two particles when the Hamiltonian is represented in a truncated occupation number basis from \( r \) angular momentum modes with \( \bar{g}_0 \approx rL_1E_0/2 \), where we have ignored small energy-dependent terms. For larger particle numbers, we use the same value of \( \bar{g} \) and monitor the error by comparing with the exact Lieb-Liniger result. We still find a significant improvement compared to the unscaled Hamiltonian. We have used \( r = 20 \) modes for all calculations [except Fig. 3(a)]. In the Tonks-Girardeau regime, where the error is largest, the relative error after rescaling is less than 3%, which is a factor of 8 times smaller than without the rescaling. In addition to the level splitting \( \Delta E \) shown in Fig. 2, the simulations also provide insight into the nature and the robustness properties of the superposition states as shown in Fig. 3.

IV. NONINTERACTING ATOMS

Before discussing the many-particle states in different interacting regimes, we first consider the solutions for a single atom in the system (see Appendix B). These are plane waves with angular momentum \( nh \) in the absence of the barrier.
At $\Omega = \pi$, the pairs of energy levels with $k_1 = -n\hbar$ and $k_2 = (n + 1)\hbar$ are degenerate, but this degeneracy is lifted for nonzero barrier strength $b$. Expressions for the energy levels $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ and eigenstates are obtained using the transformation $\Psi(x) = \phi(x)e^{i\Delta x/L}$, where $\phi(x) = \phi(x + L)e^{i\Omega}$, and employing the ansatz $\phi(x) \equiv e^{i\Delta x/L} + Ae^{i2\pi x/L}$. For the case of $\Omega = \pi$, the discrete solutions $a_{\mu}$, with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, correspond to the single-particle energy levels $\varepsilon_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^2 E_0$, and are the roots of $2\pi\hbar^2 a_{\mu}/MLb = -\tan(\pi a_{\mu})$ for odd $\mu$ and $a_{\mu} = (\mu + 1)/2$ for even $\mu$. The $v$th avoided crossing has a level splitting of $\varepsilon_{2v-1} - \varepsilon_{2v-1} \sim b/L$ for small barrier $b \ll 2\nu E_0L$, but reaches a constant value $\varepsilon_{2v-1} - \varepsilon_{2v-1} \sim (v - 1/4)E_0$ for large impenetrable barrier $b \gtrsim 2\nu E_0L$.

For noninteracting atoms, the energy gap $\Delta E$ is the energy required to excite a single atom from the ground state across the first avoided level crossing (see dash on the far left of Fig. 2). Instead of a binary superposition, however, we find a binomial distribution involving many different momenta, as seen in Fig. 2(a).

V. TONKS-GIRARDEAU REGIME

The situation becomes more interesting when repulsive interactions remove the near degeneracies of all angular momentum eigenstates except the $K = 0$ and the $K = N\hbar$ states. The interacting quantum system is generally very difficult to model and our numerical approach is limited to a small number of particles. One exception is the Tonks-Girardeau limit of strong interactions and low densities, where $\gamma \equiv gMLE/(\hbar^2 N) \gg 1$. Here, the bosons are strongly correlated as they cannot pass each other and undergo fermionization. The energy spectrum of the bosons in this limit is identical to that of noninteracting spinless fermions [29], but the single-particle momentum distribution is different and spreads $\propto 1/\sqrt{K}$ over an infinite range even at zero temperature [30]. Experiments have already validated the features of fermionization of ultracold atomic gases [20,31].

A calculation of the energy gap in the Tonks-Girardeau limit proceeds as for noninteracting spinless fermions, using the single-particle results. For simplicity, we assume an odd number of particles $N$. The ground-state energy is found by summing the lowest $N$ single-particle levels, while the first-excited-state energy is found by substituting the highest level in the sum by the next higher level. The gap is given by the difference between these two energies, $\Delta E = \varepsilon_N - \varepsilon_{N-1}$, and is marked on the far right of Fig. 2. In the weak barrier regime determined by $b \ll NE_0L$, we find that

$$\Delta E = b/L + O(b^2)$$

scales independently of particle number, while the maximum attainable gap energy is

$$\Delta E_{\text{max}} = (N/2 + 1/4)E_0.$$  

For a weak barrier and finite but strong interactions, the gap energy shows power-law scaling with system size at constant density $\Delta E/(NE_0) \sim N^\alpha$ with $\alpha = -4/\gamma + O(\gamma^{-2})$, according to Luttinger liquid theory [32,33], where $NE_0$ is the energy of the first supercurrent excitation.

The distribution of the total angular momentum in the ground state is shown in Fig. 2(a) for noninteracting atoms and Fig. 2(b) for the Tonks-Girardeau regime. The probability of the system having an angular momentum of $K$, the neutral atom equivalent of flux or current, is given by $P(K) = Tr(T_K\rho)$. Here, $T_K = \sum_n |K,n\rangle\langle K,n|$ is the projector onto states with total angular momentum $K$, where the sum runs over all states with angular momentum $K$, and $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is the density operator. Figure 2(b) clearly shows a superposition of two total angular momentum states, where, for $N$ atoms, the total momentum difference of the two states is $N\hbar$. We quantify the quality of a superposition between states with angular momentum $K_1$ and $K_2$ by

$$Q = 4P(K_1)P(K_2).$$

As desired, the superposition quality $Q$ varies between the maximum value of 1 for an equal superposition and 0 for angular momentum eigenstates. In Fig. 3, the dashed line shows $Q$ for the ground state (with $K_1 = 0$ and $K_2 = N\hbar$). We see that $Q$ is very close to 1 beyond the minimum of $\Delta E$ at $g \approx 0.1E_0L$, where we also find that the distribution of total angular momentum does not change any more. The ground state is thus a binary superposition of total angular momentum for sufficiently large $g$.

VI. NOON-STATE REGIME

The final feature of the $\Delta E$ curve in Fig. 2 that must be explained is the minimum around $g \approx 0.1E_0L$. This is a regime where interactions are too weak to strongly correlated the atoms, but strong enough to remove the degeneracies between states with intermediate angular momentum. We can assume the ground state for $\Omega = \pi$ takes the NOON form, $|N,0\rangle + |0,N\rangle$, where the two numbers in the ket denote the occupation number of the single-particle modes with angular momentum 0 and $\hbar$. For this to happen, the coupling to other angular momentum modes must be negligible. As shown in Appendix C, this gives the conditions

$$b\sqrt{N}/L \ll gN/2L \ll E_0,$$

where the mean interaction energy per particle is small compared to the kinetic energy per particle and large compared to the product of barrier energy and the square root of particle number.

The energy splitting $\Delta E$ can now be calculated under the assumption that particles only access the two modes with $k = 0$ and $k = \hbar$. The Schrödinger equation is solved by eliminating states with intermediate angular momentum to yield (see Appendix C)

$$\Delta E = \frac{b^N}{g^{N-1}} \frac{2}{L(N-1)!}.$$  

We find that $\Delta E$ is small and decreases faster than exponentially with atom number $N$. This makes the NOON state experimentally difficult to prepare through tuning $\Omega$ due to the long coherence times required [16]. This difficulty can be overcome by creating a superposition in the strongly correlated regime first and then adiabatically reducing $g$. The time to reach the NOON state is then no longer limited by $\Delta E$. The
many-body dynamics involved in this process is left for future study.

VII. PARTICLE LOSS

Important limiting processes for the lifetime of ultracold atom experiments involve the loss of particles from the trap, e.g., due to collisions with high-energy atoms from the background gas. When the environment gains information about the state of the system, this may lead to the collapse of the superposition. We consider the most detrimental case of information about the angular momentum of the atom being gained by the environment. The resulting state, after complete removal of a single atom with angular momentum \( \hbar k \) from the \( N \)-atom ground state \( |\Psi\rangle \), is modeled by

\[
|\Psi_k^{[-1]}\rangle = a_k |\Psi\rangle / \sqrt{\langle \Psi | a_k^\dagger a_k |\Psi\rangle}.
\]

We measure the robustness of the superposition state under particle loss by the averaged quality,

\[
\bar{Q}^{[-1]} = \sum_k Q_k^{[-1]} n_k / N,
\]

where

\[
Q_{k}^{[-1]} = 4 P^{[-1]}(-k\hbar) P^{[-1]}((N-k)\hbar)
\]

is averaged over the angular momentum \( k \) of the lost particle weighted by the probability of finding an atom in mode \( k \) and \( n_k = \langle \Psi_k^{[-1]} | a_k^\dagger a_k |\Psi_k^{[-1]}\rangle \). Figure 3 shows \( \bar{Q}^{[-1]} \) after single-particle loss alongside the \( Q \) for the ground state as a function of the interaction strength \( g \). The regime around \( g \approx 0.1 E_0 L \) features a high superposition quality but poor robustness against single-particle loss, as expected for NOON states. In the Tonks-Girardeau regime, however, robustness increases dramatically and, surprisingly, \( \bar{Q}^{[-1]} \) is still of the order of 1.

Figure 3(b) demonstrates that the binary superposition is maintained even after removal of an atom, while Fig. 3(a) shows how robustness increases with atom number. The increased robustness is consistent with the spread-out nature of the single-particle momentum distribution. Measuring a single particle’s momentum is not sufficient to determine the total angular momentum of the state.

VIII. CONCLUSION

We have presented a scheme for producing a robust binary superposition with strongly correlated atoms in the ground state of a rotating system. A barrier couples two states that differ by angular momentum \( N \hbar \), where \( N \) is the number of atoms and the maximally achievable level splitting is proportional to \( N \). Due to this favorable scaling, mesoscopic superpositions involving hundreds or thousands of atoms become feasible. For example, loading 100 atoms of \(^7\text{Li} \) into a ring trap with radius 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) leads to a mean particle spacing of 3.1 \( \mu \text{m} \). An energy gap of \( \Delta E \approx 25 E_0 \approx 45 \hbar \) Hz (half the limiting value) could be realized in the Tonks-Girardeau regime with a barrier rotating at angular speed \( \omega = 0.29 \times 2\pi \) Hz. Such states are much less fragile than the related NOON states, and we expect a robustness of \( \bar{Q}^{[-1]} > 1 - 1/N \approx 0.99 \), based on extrapolating from Fig. 3(a).
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APPENDIX A: EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN FOR NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

For the numerical calculations, we perform an exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in a truncated Hilbert space. In order to improve the accuracy of the truncated calculation, we have developed an effective Hamiltonian that involves rescaling the interaction constant as detailed in the following. We start with the second quantized form of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} = \hat{H}_K + \hat{H}_B + \hat{H}_I \), where \( \hat{H}_K \), \( \hat{H}_B \), and \( \hat{H}_I \) are the Hamiltonians describing the kinetic energy of the atoms, the barrier, and the interactions between the atoms, respectively. These are given by

\[
\hat{H}_K = \int dx \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\Omega}{L} \right) \right) \hat{\Psi}(x),
\]

\[
\hat{H}_B = \int dx \frac{g}{2} \left( \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}(x) \right) \hat{\Psi}(x),
\]

\[
\hat{H}_I = \int dx \frac{g}{2} \left( \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}(x) \right) \hat{\Psi}(x),
\]

where \( \hat{\Psi}(x) \) and \( \hat{\Psi}(x) \) are the Schrödinger field operators with standard bosonic commutation relations. We transform into a truncated angular momentum basis with

\[
\hat{\Psi}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k e^{i 2\pi k x / L} \hat{a}_k,
\]

where \( \hat{a}_k^\dagger \) and \( \hat{a}_k \) create and destroy an atom with angular momentum \( k \hbar \), respectively. We introduce the effective Hamiltonian in the truncated basis by \( \hat{H} = \hat{H}_K + \hat{H}_B + \hat{H}_I \) with

\[
\hat{H}_K = \sum_{k = -r/2 + 1}^{r/2} E_0 \left( k - \frac{\Omega}{2\pi} \right)^2 \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k,
\]

\[
\hat{H}_B = \frac{b}{L} \sum_{k_1, k_2 = -r/2 + 1}^{r/2} \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_{k_1} \hat{a}_{k_2},
\]

\[
\hat{H}_I = \frac{\tilde{g}}{2L} \sum_{k_1, k_2, q = -r/2 + 1}^{r/2} \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_{k_1} \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_{k_2} + q,
\]

which becomes formally exact and identical to \( H \) of Eq. (A1) for \( r \rightarrow \infty \) and \( \tilde{g} \rightarrow g \). Here, \( r \) is the number of angular momentum modes used in the simulation, which we choose to be even. Choosing finite \( r \) effectively truncates the Hilbert space and constitutes an approximation that is expected to converge toward the exact result for large \( r \). We have used up to \( r = 36 \) for testing convergence. The results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 have been obtained with \( r = 20 \) [except for Fig. 3(a)]. While at this level the ground- and excited-state energies have already converged to the level of machine precision for small \( g \) up to the NOON-state regime (\( g \approx 0.1 E_0 L \)), we find
slow convergence with increasing $r$ in the strongly interacting Tonks-Girardeau regime, where we can compare with exact results from the Bose-Fermi mapping [29].

Using an effective Hamiltonian for our numerical calculations is a way to significantly improve the accuracy. Formally, the effective Hamiltonian is introduced by a transformation of the full Hamiltonian onto the truncated Hilbert space that preserves a subset of the exact eigenvalues [28]. Here, we use a convenient approximate form that soley involves a rescaling of the interaction constant $g$ in Eq. (A3), found by considering the simple system of two interacting particles at $b = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$, which we solve analytically.

We look for the ground state of $H$ for two particles and write the general wave function as $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{k_1, k_2 = -\infty}^{\infty} C_{k_1, k_2} \hat{a}_{k_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k_2}^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle$. Substituting this into the Schrödinger equation and making use of bosonic symmetry $C_{k_1, k_2} = C_{k_2, k_1}$, we obtain the set of simultaneous equations,

$$[E - E_0(k_1^2 + k_2^2)]C_{k_1, k_2} = \frac{g}{L} \sum_{q = -\infty}^{\infty} C_{k_1 - q, k_2 + q}. \quad (A4)$$

All terms in the Hamiltonian conserve total angular momentum and we can expect the ground state to have zero angular momentum where $k_1 = -k_2$. It follows that the right-hand side of Eq. (A4) is independent of $k_1$. Using this, it is easy to show that $C_{-q, q} \propto (E - 2E_0q^2)^{-1}$ and

$$\frac{L}{g} = \sum_{q = -\infty}^{\infty} C_{-q, q} = \frac{L}{g} - \frac{L}{g_0}, \quad (A5)$$

where $L/g = \sum_{r = 1/2}^{r/2} C_{-r, r} = \text{the result that we would obtain from the truncated Hamiltonian} \bar{H}$ of Eq. (A3). The term $-L/g_0$ accounts for the sum over the remaining angular momentum modes and thus defines $g_0$. By rearranging Eq. (A5), we find that both the energy $E$ and the coefficients $C_{-q, q}$ obtained from diagonalizing the effective Hamiltonian $\bar{H}$ agree with the exact results if the interaction strength is rescaled to

$$\gbar = g/(1 + g/g_0), \quad (A6)$$

where $g_0$ is given by

$$\frac{L}{g_0} = \frac{2}{E_0r} + \frac{2E - E_0}{6E_0^2r^3} + O(r^{-5}). \quad (A7)$$

The terms beyond the first on the right-hand side explicitly depend on the energy $E$ of the solution. Due to their scaling with the number of single-particle modes $r$, these terms can be neglected when $r^2E_0 \gg E - E_0$. For more than two particles, the formally exact effective Hamiltonian contains three and more particle interaction terms, which we will ignore here [28].

For the calculations in this work, we approximate the effective Hamiltonian by $\bar{H}$ of Eq. (A3) with $g_0 = rLE_0/2$.

**APPENDIX B: SINGLE-PARTICLE SPECTRUM**

The Hamiltonian describing the system of a one-dimensional loop with a rotating barrier is given in Eq. (1). For a single atom, analytic solutions can be found. We write the wave function as $\Psi(x) = \phi(x)e^{i2\pi x/L}$ and substitute this into the Schrödinger equation to obtain

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \phi(x) + b\delta(x)\phi(x) = \epsilon\phi(x), \quad (B1)$$

where the boundary conditions require $\phi(x) = \phi(x + L)e^{i2\pi\alpha}$. The first derivative of the wave function at the Dirac $\delta$ barrier is discontinuous and is found by integrating the Schrödinger equation over the barrier:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx}\bigg|_{x=0} - \frac{d\phi}{dx}\bigg|_{x=L} = \frac{2Mb}{\hbar^2}\phi(0). \quad (B2)$$

With the ansatz $\phi(x) = e^{i2\pi\alpha x/L} + A e^{-i2\pi\alpha x/L}$ and using the boundary condition for the wave function, we find $A = e^{i2\pi\alpha S}$ and $S = \sin(\pi\alpha + \Omega/2)/\sin(\pi\alpha - \Omega/2)$. Substituting this into Eq. (B2) yields

$$\frac{4\pi\hbar^2\alpha}{MLb} = \cot(\pi\alpha - \Omega/2) + \cot(\pi\alpha + \Omega/2), \quad (B3)$$

which has to be solved for $\alpha$. The discrete solutions $\alpha_\mu$ with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ correspond to the single-particle energy levels $\epsilon_\mu = \alpha_\mu^2E_0$. For the case of $\Omega = \pi$, Eq. (B3) is simplified to $2\pi\hbar^2\alpha_\mu/MLb = -\tan(\pi\alpha_\mu)$ for odd $\mu$ and $\alpha_\mu = (\mu + 1)/2$ for even $\mu$.

**APPENDIX C: CONDITIONS FOR EXISTENCE AND ENERGY GAP FOR NOON STATE**

The values of the experimental parameters needed to create a NOON state in this system are determined by three factors [16]. First, we want the states $|0, N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, 0, N\rangle$ to be degenerate. (Here we describe the state of the system in the occupation number basis where the three numbers in the ket represent the $-\hbar$, 0, and $\hbar$ angular momentum modes, respectively.) This is achieved when $\Omega = \pi$, which is the condition we are considering here.

Second, the two states must be, at most, weakly coupled to and energetically well separated from other states, otherwise the ground state will be a superposition of many states. This is achieved by requiring a minimum interaction strength to energetically separate the states $|N - n, n\rangle$, where $n = 1, \ldots, N - 1$ from $|0, N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, 0, N\rangle$. At the same time, the interaction should be weak enough to not couple strongly to other states through interactions. We can estimate these criteria by considering two simple two-level systems. First we consider the states $|0, N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, N - 1, 1\rangle$, where $|0, N - 1, 1\rangle$ is the state that is coupled most strongly to $|0, N, 0\rangle$ through the barrier term in the Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian for this two-state system is

$$H = \frac{g}{L}(N - 1)|0, N - 1, 1\rangle\langle 0, N - 1, 1| + \frac{b}{L}\sqrt{N}|0, N, 0\rangle\langle 0, N - 1, 1| + |0, N - 1, 1\rangle\langle 0, N, 0|, \quad (C1)$$
where we have ignored a constant energy term. Starting with the ansatz $|\Psi\rangle = a_0|0,N,0\rangle + a_1|0,N-1,1\rangle$, we find
\[
\frac{|a_0|}{|a_1|} = \frac{g(N-1)}{2b\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{g(N-1)}{2b\sqrt{N}}\right)^2 + 1}. \quad (C2)
\]

We must also consider the relative amplitudes of the states $|0,N,0\rangle$ and $|1,N-2,1\rangle$, where $|1,N-2,1\rangle$ is the state that is coupled most strongly to $|0,N,0\rangle$ through the interaction term in the Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian for this two-state system is
\[
H_e = \left[2E_0 + \frac{g}{L}(2N-3)\right]|1,N-2,1\rangle\langle 1,N-2,1| + \frac{g}{2L}\sqrt{N(N-1)}(|0,N,0\rangle\langle 1,N-2,1| + |1,N-2,1\rangle\langle 0,N,0|).
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda a_0 & = t_0a_0 + V_{n,n-1}a_{n-1} + V_{n,n+1}a_{n+1}, \\
\lambda a_N & = \left(\frac{(\lambda - t_0)(\lambda - t_1)\cdots(\lambda - t_{N-1})}{V_{01}V_{12}\cdots V_{N-1,N}} + A_N\right)a_0.
\end{align*} \quad (C7)
\]

To prove by induction that this is the general form, we add another atom to the system and eliminate $a_N$ using the additional equation $\lambda a_N = t_Na_N + V_{N,N-1}a_{N-1} + V_{N,N+1}a_{N+1}$. This leaves $a_0$ and $a_{N+1}$ and gives
\[
a_{N+1} = \left(\frac{(\lambda - t_0)(\lambda - t_1)\cdots(\lambda - t_N)}{V_{01}V_{12}\cdots V_{N+1,N+1}} + A_{N+1}\right)a_0, \quad (C8)
\]

where
\[
A_{N+1} = A_N\left(\frac{(\lambda - t_N)}{V_{N,N+1}} - \frac{V_{N,N-1}(\lambda - t_0)(\lambda - t_1)\cdots(\lambda - t_{N-2})}{V_{N+1,N+1}} - \frac{A_{N-1}V_{N,N-1}}{V_{N,N+1}}\right),
\]
and $A_1 = 0$.

We are now left with two simultaneous equations,
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda a_0 & = t_0a_0 + V(\lambda)a_0, \\
\lambda a_N & = t_Na_N + V(\lambda)a_0,
\end{align*} \quad (C10)
\]

where
\[
V(\lambda) = \frac{V_{01}V_{12}\cdots V_{N-1,N}}{(\lambda - t_0)(\lambda - t_2)\cdots(\lambda - t_{N-1})}.
\]

\[FIG. 4. (Color online) NOON state energy-level splitting as a function of atom number. The line shows the energy-level splitting calculated using Eq. (7), where $b/L = 0.008E_0$ and $g/L = 4\pi b\sqrt{N}/L(N-1)$. In the regime where the NOON state is formed, it is adequate to describe the system using just the 0 and $\hbar$ angular momentum modes. The results from the numerical simulation are shown by the red crosses. The energy-level splitting decreases rapidly as the number of particles is increased. We see the numerical result break down for $N > 11$ due to limited numerical accuracy. The analytic result is still valid beyond this point.
\]
When the amplitudes of states other than $|0,N,0\rangle$ and $|0,0,N\rangle$ are small, Eq. (C10) gives the ground- and first-excited-state energies of the uncoupled system. If we assume $t(\lambda_0) \approx t(\lambda_1) \approx t(t_0)$ and $V(\lambda_0) \approx V(\lambda_1) \approx V(t_0)$, then we have a simple two-level system and the energy-level splitting is given by $\Delta E = \lambda_1 - \lambda_0 = 2V$. As a result, we obtain Eq. (7). For large $N$, this expression is dominated by the inverse factorial and thus becomes exceedingly small. We have verified the assumptions by expanding around $t_0$ and find it is valid under the conditions given in Eq. (C5). We compare Eq. (7) with a full numerical solution of the two-mode model of Eq. (C6) in Fig. 4.

[3] NOON states are also called generalized Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states after Ref. [34], which discusses entanglement properties of a NOON state of three spin-1/2 particles.